	SE 2002/06/13 : CIA-RDP ENGINEERING ST			DP.
Lockheed Aircraft Corp.	CHANGE PROPOS			- 6 co
DATE 3-19-59	AFFECTS:	WSPO I	PRC	JECT 🏋
NAME OF MAJOR COMPONENT	PART OR LOWEST SUBAS		PART NO. &	
Airplane	Drag Chute Hook Ass	sy.	F 180-12	<u> </u>
TITLE OF PROPOSAL :	Drag Chute Hook Mech.			
worn rockers. F-180-137 Pi Lever Assy. will be replace are added. A drill templat Replacement F-475-2 Springs Roller Assem., F-180-123 Pi worn parts. F-706-6L & R P.	d by new modified as e will be supplied f will be supplied. I n and F-180-12h Pin p lates are included to esent automatic cock	sy's. Trave or the forward Replacement perts will be o retain the ing drag che	el stops for and stop local F-180-122 Good Supplied (Cont. of the hook ass	the leve stion. stch,F-10 to replace & -125 Pi n Page 2
unreliable in service due p and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F-180-164 Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in	rfaces. This condition unters. simplified version dires two men to cock proposal is based of	of this mech the system n the LAFB ;	avated by the hand which eliminate proposal. A	minates ing the
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F-180-164 Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in it less sensitive on trippi	rfaces. This condition unters. simplified version ires two men to cock proposal is based on this proposal is re- ing action.	of this med the system n the LAFB ; design of th	which eliminate proposal. Ane F-180-126	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F-180-164 Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in	rfaces. This condition that the condition of the conditio	of this med the system n the LAFB ; design of the charged to S	wated by the harmonic which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. 3P-1918 Cust	minates ing the lso incl Catch t
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F=180-164 Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in it less sensitive on trippi ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQU ESTIMATED COST FOR KITS	rfaces. This condition that the samplified version direct two men to cock or proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918)	of this med the system n the LAFB ; design of the charged to S	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also required. This change in the LAFB proposal and in it less sensitive on trippi ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUIRED.	rfaces. This condition that the samplified version directive men to cock of proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918) OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea	of this med the system n the LAFB ; design of the charged to S	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page omer No. Page 3.
temperatures the mech. encountemperatures the	rfaces. This condition that the samplified version directive men to cock of proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918) OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea	of this med the system n the LAFB ; design of the charged to S	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page omer No. Page 3.
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F-180-164 Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in it less sensitive on trippi ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQU ITEMS AFFECTED BY PROPOSAL: SAFETY MISSION PERFORM OPER.	rfaces. This condition inters. simplified version ires two men to cock proposal is based on this proposal is related in the proposal is related. INVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918 OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea	of this medithe system the system the LAFB design of the charged to S	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See	minates ing the lso inclicatch to on Page: omer No. Page 3.
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F-180-161; Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in it less sensitive on trippi ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQU ITEMS AFFECTED BY PROPOSAL: SAFFTY MISSION PERFORM OPER	rfaces. This condition that the samplified version directive men to cock of proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918 OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea	of this medithe system the system the LAFB design of the charged to system to support and support support and support support and support and support support and support supp	which eliminate oroposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page omer No. Page 3.
and grime in the bearing su temperatures the mech. enco Laughlin AFB has proposed a above problem but also requ F-180-161; Cam. This change in the LAFB proposal and in it less sensitive on trippi ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQU ESTIMATED COST FOR KITS ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQU ITEMS AFFECTED BY PROPOSAL: SAFETY MISSION EFFEC. TIVENESS PERFORM. PROC	rfaces. This condition in the samplified version ires two men to cock proposal is based on this proposal is related in the proposal is proposal in the proposal is proposal in the proposal is based on this pro	of this mech the system n the LAFB; design of the charged to S) TOOLS 4 MAI SUPPORT NA EQUIPMENT PROC	which eliminate or oposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See See	minates ing the lse incl Catch t on Page omer No. Page 3.
temperatures the mech. encountemperatures the	rfaces. This condition in the samplified version ires two men to cock proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918 OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea UIRED: None (SP-1917 ATING INTERCHANGE WEIGHT OR WEIGHT IN BALANCE BALANCE	of this medithe system the system the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the charged t	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See See In Se	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page cmer No. Page 3. Page 3.
temperatures the mech. encountemperatures the	rfaces. This condition in the samplified version ires two men to cock proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918 OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea UIRED: None (SP-1917 ATING INTERCHANGE WEIGHT OR WEIGHT IN BALANCE BALANCE	of this medithe system the system the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the charged t	which eliminate or oposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See See	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page oner No. Page 3. FLIGHT MANUAL inspec
temperatures the mech. encountemperatures the	rfaces. This condition in the samplified version ires two men to cock proposal is based on this proposal is reing action. EINVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 UIRED: None (SP-1918 OR PARTS: \$1,75.00 ea UIRED: None (SP-1917 ATING CHANGE WEIGHT OR WEIGHT OR ABILITY BALANCE APLISH CHANGE IN FIELD AVAI	of this medithe system the system the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the charged t	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See See In Se	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page oner No. Page 3. FLIGHT MANUAL inspec
temperatures the mech. encountemperatures the	rfaces. This condition in the condition of the cock of the proposal is based on this proposal is related in the proposal is proposal is related in the proposal is proposal is related in the proposal is based on this pr	of this medithe system the system the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the LAFB; design of the charged to system that the charged t	which eliminate proposal. A ne F-180-126 (Cont. SP-1918 Cust See See See In Se	minates ing the lso incl Catch t on Page cmer No. Page 3. FLIGHT MANUAL inspec

Mature of Proposal: (cont.)

The Hook Assy. mod. required the F-180-122 Catch be spring loaded closed. F-706-4 Clip, F-706-9 Spring, F-706-5 Socket Bolt, NAS322-13-0063 Cable Assy., MIB Aluminum Pulley are required for this mod.

The warning flag is shown on F-711 drawing, consists of a spring loaded shaft with a lever on one end riding on the rocker cross arm and a red and white colored flag on the other end inside the tail fairing.

This flag is visible thru a .75 dia. hole in the fairing. The color showing indicates the rigged condition of the hook. White indicates hook unlocked and "OK". Red indicates the hook locked and chute un-jettisonable. This is to be used on a pre-flight walkaround inspection.

Adjustable stops will be installed approximately 1.0 inch each side of the fuselage center line. The stops will be fastened to the structure under the fiberglas chute pan. These stops will maintain the drag chute door position without depending on the door skin trim to maintain position.

Preliminary copies of drawings F-706 and F-711 are attached.

Reason for Proposal: (cont.)

Once the chute is installed and the doors closed there is no means of checking the mechanism to make sure the chute catch is locked or unlocked before takeoff. Incorporation of the simplified mechanism provides space to install a warning flag similar to the one on the lower equipment bay hatch. This flag which is visible thru a.75 dia. hole in the tail fairing indicates whether the catch has tripped and the F-180-111 Rocker Assembly is locking the chute hook.

The simplified drag chute mechanism was installed on airplane No. 358 at EAFB. Twelve landing and three ground engine running tests have been made to date. The chute has deployed and jettisoned properly in all tests.

To improve the drag chute door fit and thus minimize the possibility of the chute being deployed in flight, stops should be installed against the forward surface of the door under the fiberglas chute pan.

This change will be incorporated on 8.B. #375.

This change proposal covers U.R. 58-730 dated 6 June'58 and U.R. 59-37 dated 30 Jan.'59

ESTIMATED COST INFORMATION

E.S. - DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPE COST ESTIMATE

The original prototype parts were installed in #358 and flown at EAFB concurrently with other programs. A final prototype installation including "flag-waver" in tail fairing will be installed in another airplane for evaluation. Only flight test effort required is for actual installation of parts. All development costs are being charged to SP-1918 for Customer No. 2.

Design \$2,376

Shop (Fabrication) 754

Flight Test (Installation) 1,215

\$4,345

C.P. - KIT COST ESTIMATE

Customer No. 1 (This change not required with J-75 engine installation)

Possible 9 kits x \$475 ea. = \$4.275

Customer No. 2

29 kits x \$475 ea. = \$13,775